Setting up of Petrochemical Projects in Rajasthan

*251. SHRI RAMDAS AGARWAL Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal under Government's consideration to set 'up any fertilizerlpetro-chemical and other projects in Rajasthan;

(b) whether the HBJ pipeline, which is passing along the boundary of Rajasthan and a branch line there of coming to Anta in Kota/Swai Ma dhopur has opened up the possibility/ feasibility of such projects; and

(c) if so, what are the Govern ment's plans in this regard during 1992-93 as well as in Eighth Five Year PIan Period?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (c) One gas based fertilizer project is coming up at Ga-depan, District Kota in Rajasthan. Having regard to the limited availi-ability of Gas from the HBJ pipeline, no other gas based fertilizerlpetro-chemical proect is envisaged in Rajasthan during the 8th Five Year Plan period.

Public Sector Units Floating Private Equity Participation in the Country

*252. SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased *to* state:

(a) what is the number of Public Sector Units which have floated private equity participation in the country;

(b) whether the 8 percent funding for the equity participation has been violated by some public sector under-takings; and

(c) if so, what action Government propse to take in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a) to (c) It is presumed that the Honourable Member is referring to the disinvestment of shares of public sector undertakings made during 1991-92 to the mutual funds]financiallinvestment institutions. During 1991-92, disinvestment of shares of 80 public sector enterprises has been made comprising only 8 per cent of the Government equity holding of these all enterprises taken together. A statement of these enterprises with extent of disin-vestmennt in each is enclosed *(See* below). By this disinvestment, Gov-ernment could mobilise Rs. 3038 crores. No public sector undertaking has violated any rules in this regard.

STATEMENT

Sl. No.	Name of the PSE	%age of disinvest-ment
1	2	3
1.	Hindustan Petroleum Corpn. Ltd.	20.0
2.	Indian Railway Constn. Co. Ltd.	0.20
3.	Minerals of Metals Trading Corpn.	0.67

4.	Bharat Petroleum Corpn. Ltd.	20.00
5.	Bharat Earthmovers Ltd.	20.00
6.	Videsh Sanchar Nigam Ltd.	20 00
7.	State Trading Corpn.	7.98
8.	Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd.	20.00
9.	Shipping Corpn. of India	20.00
10.	Indian Petrochemicals Corpn. Ltd.	20.00
11.	HMT Ltd.	5.43
12.	Dredging Corpn. of India	1.44
13.	Bharat Electronics Ltd.	20.00
14.	Cochin Refineries Ltd.	10.01
15.	Indian Telephone Industries	20.00
16.	Andrew Yule	13.57
17.	Hindustan Organic Chemicals Ltd.	20.00
18.	Hindustan Cables Ltd.	3.64
19.	Madras Refineries Ltd.	20.00
20.	Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd.	20.00
21.	Rashtriya Chemicals & Fetilizers Ltd.	5.64
22.	Steel Authority of India Ltd.	5.00
23.	Neyveli Lignite Corpn.	5.00
24.	National Aluminium Co. Ltd.	2.72
25.	Hindustan Zinc Ltd.	20.00
26.	Bongaigaon Refineries & Petrochemicals Ltd.	20.00
27.	National Fertilizers Ltd.	2.28
28.	Fertilizers & Chemicals (Travancore) Ltd.	1.54
29.	Hindustan Photofilms Mf. Co. Ltd.	16.05
30.	CMC Ltd.	16.69

Manufacture of Medium size Tractor with Lower H.P.

*253 SHRI SHANTI TYAGI. Will the PRIME MINISTER be .pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that De-partment of Technology has been asked to work on the production of a medium H.P. tractor to operate on medium size land holding and within the buying capacity of this class of peasantry; and

(b) if not, what are the reasons therefor?